

Heat Stress and Thermal Work Limit

Abstract

Extreme heat causes severe health problems. Carefully monitoring the environmental conditions and heat stress index helps to prevent heat-related illnesses as well as productivity loss. The Thermal Work Limit (TWL) heat stress index predicts the maximum workload that can be safely carried out in a given condition. It also provides practical guidelines for fluid intake and work-rest cycling. To measure the TWL, a reliable heat stress meter like Scarlet Tech TWL-1S is a necessity. TWL-1S not only indicates the risk level at a worksite but also offers a work-rest schedule and hydration plan based on the TWL value. A built-in timer counts down the remaining time before a recommended break and triggers a remote pager to alert workers to rest or restart their work. To date, Scarlet TWL-1S has contributed to remarkable reductions in heat-related illnesses in a range of settings from underground mines in Australia to geophysical programs in Africa and LNG construction projects in the Middle East.

Introduction

Extreme heat is an occupational hazard in many industries. Exposure to extreme thermal environments can impair workers' health, resulting in heat illness, injuries, reduced

productivity, and even death. With summer approaching, it is important to be reminded of the precautions against heat stress to protect yourself as well as to prioritize your health and safety while at work.



What is Heat Stress?

The body dissipates heat by sweating and by increasing the blood flow to the skin surface. Performing heavy work in hot and humid conditions in protective clothing could put workers at risk, as the effectiveness of sweating is restricted and the heat within the body cannot be dissipated. This could lead to the rise of deep body temperature. If the body continues to get more heat than it can lose, the bodily mechanism of control over internal temperature will start to fail, causing heat stress.

Typical Symptoms of Heat Stress

- Difficulty in concentrating
- Heat rashes red pimples on the neck, chest, or elbows
- Muscle cramps
- Increased heart rate
- Heat syncope fainting or dizziness
- Heat exhaustion weakness, fatigue, headache, nausea, and clammy skin
- Heat stroke extremely high body temperature, hot and dry skin, confusion, convulsions,
 or even unconsciousness

Economic and Productivity Loss Due to Heat Stress

Heat stress in the workplace not only puts workers' health at risk but also impacts their capacity to carry out tasks, causing economic loss. A significant correlation between degraded work performance and heat has been demonstrated in several studies. In Iran, Hajizadeh et al. (2014) showed that workers' productivity decreased by 48%–94% when working in thermal workplaces. In Thailand, Langkulsen et al. (2010) found a decrease in the daily work output, ranging from 10% to 60% among workers on construction sites and in the pottery industry. In

southern India, steel industry employees also reported diminished productivity upon exposure to severe occupational heat (Krishnamurthy et al., 2017).

Besides diminished work ability, interventions against severe heat illnesses such as hospitalization and the stop of work activity also led to a decrease in productivity. Declined productivity causes economic burden locally and internationally (Kjellstrom et al., 2009; Knittel et al., 2020; Wenz & Levermann, 2016), which has also been estimated. According to the International Labour Organization (2019), about 2% or higher of total working hours may be lost each year by 2030 due to heat stress, and the accumulated economic loss could reach US\$2,400 billion. In Germany, Hübler et al. (2008) estimated the heat loss during 2004, which added up to about 540 million €, amounting to 0.03% of the GDP for the year. Zander et al. (2015) examined the costs of productivity reduction and absenteeism owing to heat in Australia. The result demonstrated that the economic loss was about US\$711 per person per year, which would amount to about US\$6.2 billion—an amount of 0.3 to 0.4% of Australia's GDP—in total.

How to Assess the Risk of Heat Stress in My Working Area?



By monitoring the working environments' conditions for heat stress indices, employers

can identify the circumstances where their employees are at risk of heat stress and take precautions accordingly. Different methods have been developed for maximizing productivity and minimizing the risk to workers posed by thermal conditions. Two widely used heat stress indices are listed below.

WBGT

The wet-bulb globe temperature (WBGT) is incorporated into ISO 7243 and is commonly used to monitor heat stress in direct sunlight. This index gauges dry-bulb temperature, natural wet-bulb temperature, and globe temperature to give a composite temperature. Despite its wide acceptance, the natural wet bulb WBGT uses is relatively insensitive to wind speed. WBGT also falls short to take into account the metabolic rate, laborers' workload, the protective equipment they wear, and the clothing materials. All these factors may introduce errors to the predictions. Hence, WBGT is considered very conservative and recommended to be used only as a general guide to possible heat hazards.

TWL

Thermal Work Limit, or TWL, is an index developed to address the inadequacies of WBGT. The TWL is calculated using dry-bulb, wet-bulb, globe temperature, wind speed, and atmospheric pressure. The unit of TWL is watts per square meter (W/m²), which shows the metabolic heat that can be continuously maintained in a given set of environmental conditions, acclimatization status, and clothing materials. The lower the TWL level, the higher the risk of heat stress.

When the TWL is too low, even work at low rates cannot be safely carried out continuously. Extra breaks, fluid intake, and other precautions are needed to ensure workers' safety. Since the TWL predicts the maximum workload that can be carried out without the

workers exceeding a safe deep body temperature, it can practically help determine a safe work duration, prevent heat-related illness, and provide guidelines for work-rest cycling and hydration plans.

The TWL has been tested in several studies and shown to be a more reliable heat stress index. In an investigation by Miller and Bates (2007), wherein 12 healthy, acclimatized young workers participated, the TWL accurately reflected the safe workloads in both an indoor chamber and an outdoor working site, whilst the WBGT value only showed small differences. A study by Chan et al. (2013) in Hong Kong further validated the efficiency of the TWL, finding that its accuracy was over 90%, with a low mean absolute percentage error equaling 4.3%.

Further evidence is provided in the study by Bates and Schneider (2008), where 22 workers in the UAE were under survey for three days. The result indicated that while the WBGT identified some conditions as too severe to work, however, well-hydrated, self-paced workers could actually maintain their performances with no heat illness effects, just as the TWL estimated. In addition, a study by Farshad et al. (2014) corroborated the performance of the TWL in assessing thermal conditions on construction sites in Iran and highlighted its merit in "providing a practical and effective strategy for managing thermal stress."

To date, as an index for heat assessment that combines health with productivity, the TWL has been adopted by DOH (Department of Health – Abu Dhabi) and OSHAD (Abu Dhabi Occupational Safety and Health Center) for occupational heat management. In Abu Dhabi's annual Safety in Heat program, it is used to determine the risk level of a worksite and to give instructions of required interventions in different working zones. A remarkable reduction of 79.5% in heat-related treatment and emergency cases and a 50% decrease in serious heat illness cases was achieved in this program (Joubert et al., 2011).

TWL is also accepted as a valid assessment tool for heat stress in the AIOH (Australian

Institute of Occupational Hygienists) Heat Stress Standard and is widely implemented in Australia's underground mining industry and sports medicine. In Brake and Bates' (2000) study, implementation of TWL has yielded a reduction of heat illness from 31 to 18 per million working hours. 4cRisk Pty Ltd implemented the TWL in their heat stress monitoring programs in a number of varying settings such as coal mines in Queensland, underground metalliferous mines in South Australia, and the Danakil Depression in Ethiopia. The result in Danakil Depression—the hottest place on the earth—is especially amazing: no heat stress event was reported after the implementation of the program (Burke & Hokins, 2013).

Working Zones' Classification and Measures to Take

Based on the TWL, thermal environments can be classified into the following working zones:

TWL	Working Zone	Interventions	Rehydration Plan	Work-Rest Cycling
(W/m2)	Working Zone	interventions	(per hour)	work-Rest Cycling
>220	Very Low Risk Unrestricted Zone	No limits on self-paced work for hydrated, trained, acclimatized workers	0.6~1 Liter/hr.	Safe for all kinds of self-paced
140-220	Low Risk Acclimatization Zone	 No added restrictions for acclimatized workers Unacclimatized workers should not work alone in this zone. 		work
115-140	Medium Risk Buffer Zone	 No unacclimatized workers No working alone Provide shaded areas for breaks. Ensure proper ventilation. 	Light Work 1~1.2 l/hr. Heavy Work >1.2 l/hr.	Safe for self-paced light work 45 mins work – 15 mins rest
<115	High Risk Withdrawal Zone	 No unacclimatized workers No working alone Ensure proper ventilation Provide bottled water and ensure fluid intake. Provide shaded areas for breaks. Perform dehydration testing. 	All Work >1.2 l/hr.	Light Work 45 mins work – 15 mins rest Heavy Work 20 mins work – 40 mins rest

Data source: Working in Heat Procedures and Training Manual (Abu Dhabi Ministry of Labour, 2009)

As shown in the table, when the TWL exceeds 220 W/m², all kinds of self-paced work

for hydrated, trained, and acclimatized workers can be safely carried out continuously, while when the TWL falls between 140 W/m² and 220 W/m², unacclimatized workers should not work alone. If the TWL is below 140 W/m² yet still above 115 W/m², the workers should be fully aware of the increased risk of heat stress. No unacclimatized workers should be allowed, and working alone needs to be avoided. In this working zone, workers must have access to adequate water and cool, shaded areas for breaks. If the TWL does not even reach 115 W/m², the risk level is high. Only essential maintenance work or rescue operations should be allowed. No one should work alone under this condition, and those who do work must follow the work-rest schedule and drink at least 0.6 liters of water every 30 minutes. All signs of heat stress must be carefully monitored, and dehydration testing should be performed at the end of the shift.

A Reliable and Cost-Effective Heat Stress Meter

Understanding the heat stress index and having a reliable meter to measure it is important for preventing heat-related illness and augmenting occupational safety in thermal workplaces. Prudently monitoring the environmental conditions and heat stress index, maintaining hydration, taking regular breaks, and resting in cooler areas all together can help to avoid overexposure.



Scarlet TWL-1S is a heat stress meter specifically designed for TWL and WBGT measurement. It not only helps to recognize hazardous conditions but saves the trouble of memorizing the regulations. Its interface displays a work-rest schedule and recommended hydration plan based on the TWL value, with the backlight showing different colors according to the risk levels. To help workers follow the work-rest cycling, a timer function can be activated, which counts down the remaining time before the next break. At the end of the

countdown, the remote pager will be triggered to alert workers to rest or restart their work.



Scarlet TWL-1S is endorsed by the DOH, OHSAD, Dubai Municipality, ADNOC group, Emirates groups, Dubai DP world and other major contractors as a standard heat stress monitor at the worksite. It has also been applied to Al Fara'a General Contracting (AFGCO) group's project in Abu Dhabi. The great reduction in heat-related illness in programs wherein TWL-1S was used spoke for the effectiveness of this compact device. In Emirates Group's heat campaign, for

example, reportable heat illness cases dropped 60%. Furthermore, not a single heat illness-related case was reported in 4cRisk's heat stress management plan for the LNG project in Queensland.

For more information about heat stress management, visit <u>Scarlet Tech</u>.

If you need a cost-effective heat stress monitoring tool, also check <u>TWL-1S.</u>

References

- Bates, G., & Schneider, J. (2008). Hydration status and physiological workload of UAE construction workers: A prospective longitudinal observational study. *Journal Of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*, 3(1), 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6673-3-21
- Brake, D.J., & Bates, G.P. (2000). Occupational heat illness: An intervention study.

 International Conference on Physiological and Cognitive Performance in Extreme

 Environments. Edited by: Lau, W.M. Defence Science and Technology Organisation,

 Australian Department of Defence, Canberra, Australia. pp. 170–172.
- Burke, N.N, & Hokins, N. (2013). *Application of the thermal work limit model for effective workplace heat stress management*. Queensland Mining Industry Health and Safety Conference 2013. https://www.qmihsconference.org.au/wp-content/uploads/qmihsc-2013-concurrent-burke_hokins.pdf
- Chan, A., Yi, W., Chan, D., & Wong, D. (2013). Using the thermal work limit as an environmental determinant of heat stress for construction workers. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 29(4), 414–423. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)me.1943-5479.0000162
- Farshad, A., Montazer, S., Monazzam, M., Eyvazlou, M., & Mirkazemi, R. (2014). Heat stress level among construction workers. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 43(4), 492–498.
- Hajizadeh, R., Golbabaei, F., Monazzam, M., Farhang-Dehghan, S., & Ezadi-Navan, E.
 (2014). Productivity loss from occupational exposure to heat stress: A case study in brick workshops/Qom-Iran. *International Journal of Occupational Hygiene*, 6(3), 143–148.
- Hübler, M., Klepper, G., & Peterson, S. (2008). Costs of climate change. Ecological

- Economics, 68(1–2), 381–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.04.010
- International Labour Organization. (2019). Working On a Warmer Planet: The Impact of

 Heat Stress on Labour Productivity and Decent Work (pp. 3-4).

 https://www.preventionweb.net/publications/view/66304
- Joubert, D., Thomsen, J., & Harrison, O. (2011). Safety in the heat: A comprehensive program for prevention of heat illness among workers in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(3), 395–398.

 https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2009.189563
- Kjellstrom, T., Holmer, I., & Lemke, B. (2009). Workplace heat stress, health and productivity An increasing challenge for low and middle-income countries during climate change. *Global Health Action*, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v2i0.2047
- Knittel, N., Jury, M., Bednar-Friedl, B., Bachner, G., & Steiner, A. (2020). A global analysis of heat-related labour productivity losses under climate change Implications for Germany's foreign trade. *Climatic Change*, 160(2), 251–269.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02661-1
- Krishnamurthy, M., Ramalingam, P., Perumal, K., Kamalakannan, L., Chinnadurai, J., Shanmugam, R., Srinivasan, K., Venugopal, V. (2017). Occupational heat stress impacts on health and productivity in a steel industry in Southern India. *Safety and Health at Work*, 8(1), 99–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2016.08.005
- Langkulsen U, Vichit-Vadakan N, & Taptagaporn S. (2010). Health impact of climate change on occupational health and productivity in Thailand. *Global Health Action*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v3i0.5607.
- Miller, V., & Bates, G. (2007). The thermal work limit is a simple reliable heat index for the protection of workers in thermally stressful environments. *The Annals of Occupational Hygiene*, *51*(6), 553–561. https://doi.org/10.1093/annhyg/mem035

- Wenz, L., & Levermann, A. (2016). Enhanced economic connectivity to foster heat stress-related losses. *Science Advances*, 2(6), e1501026.

 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501026
- Zander, K., Botzen, W., Oppermann, E., Kjellstrom, T., & Garnett, S. (2015). Heat stress causes substantial labour productivity Lloss in Australia. *Nature Climate Change*, *5*, 647–651. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2623